Brent R. Cole, Esq.
Law Office of Brent R. Cole, P.C.
staff@akcolelaw.com
brent@akcolelaw.com
Attorneys for High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc.,
and Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Bell

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

WILLIAM ALAN HULSEY, Individually and as Personal Representative of the))
Estate of Caleb Parker Hulsey, deceased,)
and))
WILLIAM ALAN HULSEY, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Mackay Alexander Hulsey, deceased,))))
and))
WILLIAM ALAN HULSEY, Individually and as personal Representative of the Estate of Heather Nicole Dillard Hulsey, deceased,))))
Plaintiffs,))
VS.))
HELEN KNOPP, as Personal))
Representative of the ESTATE OF GARY)
KNOPP, deceased; HELEN KNOPP,)
individually and d/b/a G & H) Case No.: 3:21-cv-00049-JWS
CONSTRUCTION; HIGH	3:21-cv-00051-JWS
ADVENTURES AIR CHARTER)
GUIDES AND OUTFITTERS, INC.;)
SOLDOTNA AIRCRAFT &)

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint <u>Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.</u>; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 1 of 14

EQUIPMENT LEASING, LLC; CINDY LYNN RAINEY-BELL as Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF GREGORY BELL,))))
Defendants,)))
HELEN WYODD DIDWIDHALLY 1)
HELEN KNOPP, INDIVIDUALLY and as)
the PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE of)
the ESTATE OF GARY KNOPP)
)
Cross-Complainants,)
-)
HIGH ADVENTURES AIR CHARTER)
GUIDES AND OUTFITTERS, INC.;)
CINDY LYNN RAINEY-BELL AS	ĺ
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF	í
THE ESTATE OF GREGORY BELL,	ì
THE ESTATE OF GREGORY BEEF,) \
)
Cross Defendants.)

<u>ANSWER AND COUNTER-CLAIM TO KNOPP'S ANSWER</u> TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CROSS-CLAIM

COMES NOW Cross-Defendants High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc. and Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Bell ("Cross-Defendants") by and through counsel, the Law Firm of Brent R. Cole, P.C., and for their answer to Cross-Complainants' Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim state as follows:

CROSS-CLAIM

99. As to Paragraph 99, Answering Cross-Defendants are without knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 99 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, and therefore, deny the same.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 2 of 14

Case 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Document 29 Filed 05/14/21 Page 2 of 14

- 100. As to Paragraph 100 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 101. As to Paragraph 101 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, AnsweringCross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 102. As to Paragraph 102 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, Answering Cross-Defendants are without knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and therefore deny the same.
- 103. As to Paragraph 103 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, Answering Cross-Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

I. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 104. Answering Cross-Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 104 of Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint. Answering Cross-Defendants admit Gregory Bell was acting as an employee of High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc. and within the scope of his employment at all material times.
- 105. Answering Cross-Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 105 of Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint. Answering Cross-Defendants admit plaintiffs' decedents, Caleb Parker Hulsey, Makay Mackay, Alexander Hulsey, and William Alan Husley were passengers in the leased de Havilland DHC-2 Beaver piloted by decedent Gregory Bell within his scope of employment with High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint <u>Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.</u>; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 3 of 14

II. NEGLIGENCE AND APPORTIONMENT UNDER AS19.17.080

- 106. Answering Cross-Defendants incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein, all their answers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 99-105 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 107. Answering Cross-Defendants (High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc.) admit the allegations in Paragraphs 107 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 108. Answering Cross-Defendants (High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc.) deny the allegations in Paragraphs 108 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 109. Answering Cross-Defendants (High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc) deny the allegations in Paragraphs 109 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 110. The allegations contained in Paragraph 110 constitute a legal conclusion for which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Answering Cross-Defendants admit AS 09.17.080 requires responsible parties be apportioned damages according with their respective fault as determined by a trier of fact, but deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

III. NEGLIGENCE—SURVIVAL ACT

- 111. Answering Cross-Defendants (High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc) incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein, all their answers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 99-110 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 112. The allegations contained in Paragraph 112 constitute a legal conclusion for which no answer is required.
- 113. Answering Cross-Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 113 of Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint. Answering Cross-Defendants admit Gregory Bell and

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint <u>Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.</u>; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS
Page 4 of 14

High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc. owed duties of care under Alaska law and federal law, including the Federal Aviation Regulations, to operate in a reasonable safe manner the leased de Havilland DHC-2.

- 114. Answering Cross-Defendants (High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, Inc) deny the allegations in Paragraphs 114 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- Answering Cross-Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraphs 115 of the Cross-115. Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 116. Answering Cross-Defendants admit the limits of insurance protection exceed one million dollars as alleged in Paragraph 116 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, but are without knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations, and therefore deny the same.

IV. WRONGFUL DEATH

- 117. Answering Cross-Defendants incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein, all their answers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 99-116 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 118. The allegations contained in Paragraph 117 constitute a legal conclusion for which no answer is required.
- Answering Cross-Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraphs 119 of the Cross-119. Complainants' Cross-Complaint.
- 120. Answering Cross-Defendants admit the limits of insurance protection exceed one million dollars as alleged in Paragraph 116 of the Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, but

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 5 of 14

are without knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations, and therefore deny the same.

GENERAL DENIAL

Answering Cross-Defendants deny each and every allegation not specifically admitted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AND NOW, having answered Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint, Answering Cross-Defendants assert the following Affirmative Defenses:

- 1. The Cross-Complainants' Cross-Complaint fails to state a claim for relief.
- 2. Gary Knopp, the deceased pilot, was the sole cause of this aircraft accident and no apportionment of fault is appropriate under AS 09.17.080.
- 3. Gary Knopp, the deceased pilot, was the sole cause of this aircraft accident, precluding any recovery for damages under Cross-Complainants' Cross Complaint.
- 4. Any damage, injury of condition, if any, was caused in whole or in part, by an intervening and/or superseding cause for which Answering Cross-Defendants are not responsible.
- 5. Cross-Claimants' damages, if any, directly and proximately resulted from the negligent and/or intentional acts or omissions of Gary Knopp over whom the Answering Cross-Defendants had no control and over whom the Answering Cross-Defendants bear no legal liability.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 6 of 14

- 6. Cross-Defendant expressly reserves, and does not waive, its right to assert any and all additional defenses, affirmative defenses, counterclaims, cross-claims, or thirdparty claims.
- 7. All other defenses not herein stated are specifically reserved and shall be asserted at such time as the facts supporting their assertion are made known to or discovered by Cross-Defendants.

COUNTER-CLAIM

COMES NOW Answering Cross-Defendant, Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Bell, ("Answering Cross-Complainants") by and through her counsel, and hereby states and alleges a counter-claim against Helen Knopp as Personal Representative of the Estate of Knopp as follows:

- Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell is the Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Bell and is qualified to bring this lawsuit on behalf of the Estate of Gregory Bell.
- At all material times, Gary Knopp owned, controlled, maintained, and operated a certain Piper PA-12 aircraft (N2587M).

I. **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

In March 2012, the FAA received an eye evaluation report from Gary Knopp's ophthalmologist. In this report, the ophthalmologist noted that Gary Knopp had medically controlled open-angle glaucoma with visual field loss in both eyes and required ophthalmology follow up every 4 months.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 7 of 14

124. Based on this, in June of 2012, Gary Knopp's FAA medical flight certification was denied because of eyesight and vision problems.

- 125. Gary Knopp appealed the denial of his medical certificate and on an appeal, the denial was affirmed in July of 2012.
 - 126. Thereafter, Gary Knopp never obtained an FAA medical certificate.
- 127. Despite the medical recommendation in March of 2012 that Gary Knopp see an ophthalmologist every 4 months, he did not follow that advice. The next and last time he ever saw an ophthalmologist was in June 2014. At that visit, his (confrontation) visual fields were abnormal inferiorly in the right and left eyes. He had an artificial lens in place in the right eye, and age-related changes in the left eye.
- 128. Gary Knopp's most recent visual field testing took place on October 1, 2019. Similar to previous tests, results showed significant visual field deficits in both eyes, right worse than left. As reported by the NTSB:

The right eye test was a good-quality test. It showed significant deficits across nearly the entire tested field when compared to agematched normal subjects. For almost the entire inferior portion of the tested field, even the brightest available stimulus was not seen. There were small areas of relatively better (but still unlikely normal) performance near the center of the tested field just above the horizontal midline, and at the superonasal edge of the tested field. The left eye test was also a good-quality test. It showed significant deficits across most of the inferior tested field, and in a portion of the superior tested field. Inferior field deficits were worse nasally; even the brightest available stimulus was not seen for the entire inferonasal quadrant of the tested field.

Gary Knopp's most recent optometry visit was in May 2020. The optometrist noted a diagnosis of severe open-angle glaucoma, right greater than left, and a plan for Gary Knopp to be referred back to his ophthalmologist for re-evaluation and possible repeat laser surgery or other procedure, with another optometry visit to be scheduled in 4-6 months.

- As of July 31, 2020, Gary Knopp did not have a properly issued FAA medical certificate.
- As reported by the NTSB, post-mortem toxicological testing was performed by the FAA Forensic Sciences Laboratory, which detected ethanol in Gary Knopp's body.
- On July 31, 2020 a de Havilland DHC-2 Beaver operated by Gregory Bell on behalf of High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters, INC departed Longmere Lake at approximately 0824 ADT with 5 passengers aboard.
- At approximately the same time, the Piper PA-12 piloted by Gary Knopp departed Soldotna Airport bound for Fairbanks, Alaska.
- Meteorological conditions at the time as recorded at Soldotna Airport (PASX) were daylight, clear with ceilings at 8500 agl, and visibility of 10 miles.
- 135. Preliminary flight track data reveals that the DHC-2 Beaver was traveling northwest about 1,175 ft mean sea level (msl) and gradually climbing about 78 knots (kts) when it crossed the Sterling Highway near Soldotna, Alaska. At or around the same time, the Piper PA-12 was traveling northeast about 1,175 ft msl at about 71 kts, north of andparallel to the Sterling Highway.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 9 of 14

136. As a result of the conduct of Gary Knopp and the resultant mid-air collision, Gregory Bell suffered severe and ultimately fatal injuries that caused his death.

II. SURVIVAL STATUTE

- 137. Cross-Defendant hereby incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, all of the paragraphs above, and further alleges as follows.
- 138. This Count is brought pursuant to Alaska's Survival Act Statute, AK § 09.55.570.
- 139. On July 31, 2020, Gary Knopp owed duties of care under both Alaska law and federal law, including the Federal Aviation Regulations, to operate in a reasonable safe manner the Piper PA-12 which he was flying.
- 140. Notwithstanding and in violation of these duties, Gary Knopp committed the following negligent acts and/or omissions:
- a. In violation of FAA rules, regulations, guidelines, and procedures, and the Federal Aviation Regulations, including 14 CFR § 61.23, he knowingly operated an aircraft as a solo pilot without a valid medical certificate;
- b. In violation of FAA rules, regulations, guidelines, and procedures, and the Federal Aviation Regulations, including 14 CFR § 91.113, he failed to comply with aircraft right-of-way;
- c. In violation of FAA rules, regulations, guidelines, and procedures, and the Federal Aviation Regulations, including 14 CFR § 91.113(b), he failed to see and avoid another aircraft;

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint <u>Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.</u>; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS
Page 10 of 14 d. In violation of FAA rules, regulations, guidelines, and procedures, and the Federal Aviation Regulations, including 14 CFR § 91.111, he failed to maintain proper aircraft spacing;

- e. In violation of FAA rules, regulations, guidelines, and procedures, and the Federal Aviation Regulations, including 14 CFR § 91.13, he operated the aircraft in a careless and/or reckless manner;
- f. Failed to properly use collision avoidance technology techniques, including scanning for the presence of other aircraft, in addition to properly monitoring and utilizing the radio with regard to position and traffic reporting;
- g. In violation of FAA rules, regulations, guidelines, and procedures, and the Federal Aviation Regulations, operated his aircraft knowing that he had permanent and irreversible vision impairments in both of his eyes.
- 141. Gregory Bell, as a pilot aboard an aircraft within the airspace of the United States, was in the class of persons intended to be protected by the above-referenced sections of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FARs). The above-referenced FARs were designed to protect from the harm of midair collisions. The violation of some or all of these federal regulations constitute negligence *per se* under Alaska law.
- 142. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of these aforementioned acts or omissions, the Cross-Defendant Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell's decedent suffered severe and ultimately fatal personal injuries and death, including but not limited to conscious pain and suffering, pre-impact terror, and severe emotional distress.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint <u>Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.</u>; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS
Page 11 of 14

III. WRONGFUL DEATH

- 143. Cross-Defendant hereby incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the preceding count and further alleges as follows:
- 144. This Count is brought pursuant to Alaska's Wrongful Death Statute, AK § 09.55.580.
- 145. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of these aforementioned acts or omissions, Cross-Defendant Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell suffered damages as established under the Alaska Wrongful Death Statute, including but not limited to pecuniary loss including the decedent's net lifetime earnings.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Cross-Defendant pray for the following relief:

- 1. For judgment in favor of Cross-Defendants and against Cross-Claimants on all claims in the Complaint;
 - 2. For damages including the following:
 - a. For pecuniary and non-pecuniary damages;
 - b. For pre-death pain and suffering and emotional distress of decedent Gregory Bell;
 - c. For lost earnings;
 - d. For other economic and non-economic damages;
- 3. For costs and attorneys' fees as allowable under Alaska's Rules of Civil

Procedure and Administrative Rules; and

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint <u>Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.</u>; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS
Page 12 of 14

4. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and equitable in the circumstances.

DATED this 14th day of May, 2021, at Anchorage, Alaska.

LAW OFFICE OF BRENT R. COLE, P.C. Attorneys for High Adventures Air Charter Guides and Outfitters and Cindy Lynn Rainey-Bell as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Bell

/s/Brent R. Cole By: Brent R. Cole AK State Bar No. 8606074

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 14th day of May, 2021, a true
and correct copy of the foregoing document was
☐ Mailed
☐ Hand-delivered
☐ Faxed

to the following:

Michael J. Schneider, Esq Law Offices of Michael J. Schneider, P.C. mschneider@aktriallaw.com

Mary F. Schiavo, Esq. James R. Brauchle, Esq. Motley Rice mschiavo@motleyrice.com jbrauchle@motleyrice.com

Blaine D. Gilman, Esq. Gilman and Pevehouse bdgilman@gilmanlawak.com

Amanda Harber, Esq. 49th State Law LLC amanda@49thstatelaw.com

Matthew S. Sims, Esq. Melanie J. VanOverloop, Esq. Rapoport Weisberg & Sims, P.C. msims@rapoportlaw.com mvanoverloop@papoportlaw.com

Charles Hodge, Esq.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 13 of 14

Case 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Document 29 Filed 05/14/21 Page 13 of 14

Hodge & Langley Law Firm chodge@hodgelawfirm.com

/s/Nicole Miller

Staff at Law Office of Brent R. Cole, P.C.

Answer and Counter-Claim to Knopp's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Cross Complaint <u>Hulsey v. Knopp, et al.</u>; 3:21-cv-00049-JWS; 3:21-cv-00051-JWS Page 14 of 14